

**PLANNING 27th OCTOBER 2022
REPORTS**

AGENDA ITEMS 1 & 2

CHAIRMAN'S INTRODUCTION, WELCOME AND APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Members are reminded that apologies for absence should be submitted to the Clerk ahead of the meeting and the reason for non-attendance

AGENDA ITEM 3

TO APPROVE AND SIGN THE MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING ON THE 6th OCTOBER 2022

Present: Cllrs: A. Tait (Chairman), T. Bangert, P. Green, D. Riddoch and R. Taylor

In Attendance: M. Carvajal-Neal (Deputy Clerk) and two members of the Public

95. CHAIRMAN'S WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

The Chairman welcomed everyone and opened the meeting at 6.00pm.

96. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

There were no apologies for absence.

97. TO APPROVE AND SIGN THE MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 25TH AUGUST 2022

Members **AGREED** to **APPROVE** the Minutes of the Planning Committee held on 25th August and they were signed by the Chairman.

98. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST.

There were no declarations of interest.

99. TO NOTE THAT THE PLANNING MEETING OF 25TH SEPTEMBER WAS CANCELLED DUE TO THE MEETING BEING SCHEDULED TO TAKE PLACE DURING THE PERIOD OF MOURNING

This was **NOTED**. Members further **NOTED** that all applications due to be considered on 25th September had received extensions for comments.

100. ADJOURNED FOR OPEN FORUM

The Chairman adjourned the meeting at 18:06 for Open Forum.

100.1 One member of the public asked if comments were still open for the Willowbrook application. The Chair confirmed that they were. There has been a discrepancy with listed dates on the portal. The Planning Committee had been offered an extension until 7th October for comments.

The meeting was re-adjourned at 18:08

101. ADJOURNED FOR PRESENTATIONS

The Deputy Clerk advised that there had been no requests to present.

102. CONSIDERATION OF PLANNING APPLICATIONS WEEKS 34-39

Week 34

102.1 SB/22/01005/FUL

The Parish Council Planning Committee has considered this application and has agreed to object to the application for the following reasons:

1. The main building, The Sussex Brewery, is a grade II listed building, the sub-plot is in the curtilage of the main building and, as such, should have the same protected status. Members would like to see, at the very minimum, an application for listed building consent.
2. Members disagreed with the highways statement regarding the proposed level of parking being sufficient. This application would not leave sufficient parking spaces for customers to the pub. The loss of two car parking spaces to this site would be significant given that this would leave only eight parking spaces for customers. Members also felt that the loss would amount to more than just 2 spaces as additional spaces would be lost to the garden area. Members also disputed the matter of overspill parking being accommodated by parking on Main Road and felt that this would be detrimental to highways safety given that this site is located at a narrower part of Main Road and that parking is already an issue here for local residents and visitors to the area.
3. Members also objected to the loss of a community asset. The building has previously been used as a venue for the Art Trail. It would also see a loss of storage for the Sussex Brewery.

Week 35

102.2 No applications

Week 36

102.3 SB/22/02132/DOM

Members considered this application and unanimously **AGREED** to support the application. There were no objections. Members **AGREED** to further comment that they would like to see internal shading to roof lights to protect wildlife including bats.

Week 37

102.4 SB/22/01865/ADV

Members considered this application and unanimously **AGREED** to support the application. There were no objections.

102.5 SB/22/02147/DOM

Members considered this application and unanimously **AGREED** to support the application. There were no objections.

102.6 SB/22/02243/TPA

Members considered this application and unanimously **AGREED** to support the application. There were no objections.

102.7 SB/22/02264/TCA

The Parish Council Planning Committee considered this application and unanimously **AGREED** to object to the application for the following reasons;

1. There is not sufficient information in the application for Members to determine what other species of tree are currently on site and if the removal of this Walnut tree would result in a loss of Walnut trees entirely from the site, as such, Members cannot support the application.
2. Members feel that there may be historic precedence given that the cottage is named Walnut Tree Cottage.
3. The applicant has described in the application that the garden is small and that the Walnut tree causes excessive shading, Members do not agree with the statement that the garden is small. Members also cannot see how the tree can cause excessive shading given that it is in situ at the north of the site and has a much larger Yew Tree behind it.

103. AMENDED PLANNING APPLICATIONS

103.1 Willow Brook 21/01910/OUT ETC request

Members unanimously **AGREED** to object to the application. Members further **AGREED** to the Chair submitting the comments to the Deputy Clerk by email for submission to the portal. Members of the public can view these comments directly via the portal.

103.2 To **NOTE** the decision by Council of a proposal from Cllr Tait to send a letter to Natural England with respect to this application
This was **NOTED**.

104. CONSIDERATION OF ANY PLANNING APPEALS AND TO NOTE ANY UPDATES REGARDING CURRENT APPEALS

104.1 LAND EAST OF PRIORS ORCHARD
TO NOTE UPDATE FROM THE CHAIR REGARDING THE HEARING FOR; DCLG REF NO: APP/L3815/W/22/3296444 APPLICATION NO: SB/21/03665/FUL
The Deputy Clerk advised that there remains no update for this appeal. This was **NOTED**.

104.2 GOSDEN GREEN, 112 MAIN RD.

TO NOTE ANY UPDATE REGARDING DCLG REF NO: APP/L3815/W/21/3289451 APPLICATION NO: 21/02238/FULEIA
The Deputy Clerk advised that there remains no update for this appeal. This was **NOTED**.

105. TRO/CHS2202/RC- MEMBERS ARE ASKED TO NOTE THE TRO AND AGREE IF THEY WISH TO COMMENT

Members **AGREED** to comment that the Planning Committee are in support of the TRO. It was further **AGREED** that the Deputy Clerk will respond to the TRO team at West Sussex County Council.

106. TO NOTE ANY UPDATE REGARDING METIS HOMES' REQUEST TO MEET WITH MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE IN AN INFORMAL MEETING REGARDING APPLICATION SB/22/01283 HARRIS BREAKERS YARD. MEETINGS HELD 7TH SEPTEMBER AND 27TH SEPTEMBER.

Members **NOTED** that both of these meetings have now taken place. The Chair reiterated that these were fact finding, without prejudice, meetings and no decision making took place. This was made clear to all parties ahead of the meetings.

The Chair further wished to update the Committee that an email has been sent to the Local Planning Authority regarding the process of applications. The Planning Committee would like clarification as to why this site has had an EIA application and then FUL application without any OUT or REM application having been received. To date there has been no response to the email. This was **NOTED**.

107. TO RECEIVE AND NOTE THE NOTES FOR THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN STEERING GROUP AND TO CONSIDER ANY RECOMMENDATIONS

The Notes were **NOTED**.

107.1 Recommendation: To the Planning Committee that Members of the Planning Committee approve the SPNP3 together with the Foreword for public consultation.

This was unanimously **AGREED**.

107.2 Recommendation: To the Planning Committee that they approve a new report being undertaken to be funded by the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group Budget.

This was unanimously **AGREED**.

It was further **AGREED** that the following recommendation be made to full council:

Recommendation: To Full Council that the NP3 as approved by the Planning Committee goes to regulation 14 consultation.

108. TO NOTE THE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING SB/22/01903/OUT FOUR-ACRE NURSERY, COOKS LANE SOUTHBOURNE FROM PNH PROPERTIES GROUP AND FROM THE HARBOUR CONSERVANCY

This was **NOTED**.

109. TO RECEIVE AND NOTE THE CORRESPONDENCE FROM ANDREW FROST REGARDING A27 CHICHESTER BYPASS - RIS3 PIPELINE

This was **NOTED**.

110. TO AGREE TO A POLICY ON ENGAGING IN EARLY DISCUSSIONS ON DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

Members **NOTED** the draft previously circulated by the Deputy Clerk and unanimously **AGREED** to adopt as a Policy on engaging in early discussions of development projects.

111. CONSIDERATION OF THE PRIORS ORCHARD HISTORICALLY PROPOSED NORTH WESTERLY FOOTPATH AND TO AGREE IF MEMBERS WISH TO FURTHER EXPLORE THIS MATTER.

Members felt that given the current traffic and parking problems in Stein Road currently under consideration it was appropriate to reconsider either the footbridge across the railway line or the proposed access route from Priors Orchard to the infant and jnr school. As it has been some time since this project has been considered Members **AGREED** to make some initial internal enquiries to determine whether or not the project remains feasible.

112. TO RECEIVE AND NOTE AN UPDATE FROM BLOOR REGARDING RESERVED MATTERS APPLICATION AT COOKS LANE AND TO AGREE TO A RESPONSE.

Members **NOTED** the correspondence. The Chair clarified that the site in question was to form part of the NP2 planning including a Community Centre and connectivity to the Greenring.

The Chair also highlighted the update regarding the Telemast, it has been determined that this will move. This was **NOTED**.

113. CONSULTATION ON THE DRAFT CHICHESTER INFRASTRUCTURE BUSINESS PLAN (IBP) MEMBERS ARE ASKED TO CHECK THE DETAILS AND MAKE COMMENT IF APPLICABLE.

Members considered all of the projects laid out within the IBP and **AGREED** on several amendments, these will be issued to the Clerk.

114. TO NOTE DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETING

27th October 2022 18:00 at St John's Church Centre.

AGENDA ITEM 4

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members and Officers are invited to make any declarations of Disclosable Pecuniary and/or Ordinary Interests that they may have in relation to items on this agenda and are reminded that they should re-declare their Interest before consideration of the item or as soon as the Interest becomes apparent and if not previously included on their Register of Interests to notify the Monitoring Officer within 28 days.

AGENDA ITEM 5

ADJOURNMENT FOR PUBLIC OPEN FORUM

The Chairman will adjourn the meeting for the Open Forum. During these sessions members of the public will be permitted to speak and ask questions with a maximum time of 3 minutes.

Members are asked to note that no decision can be made during this time and any item requiring further discussion will need to be deferred to a future agenda.

AGENDA ITEM 6

PRESENTATIONS

The Chairman will adjourn the meeting for presentations. During these sessions developers and representatives on applications of 5 dwellings/units and over may present to members with a maximum time of 15 minutes providing that Officers have received a request in writing prior to the issuing of the agenda.

Members are asked to note that no decision can be made during this time and any item requiring further discussion will need to be deferred to a future agenda.

Where the presentation relates to an application due to be considered within the same meeting, Members may agree to change the order of business and formally consider the application as the next agenda item, in which case the Chairman will readjourn Standing Orders.

AGENDA ITEM 7

CONSIDERATION OF PLANNING APPLICATIONS WEEKS 40-42

Members are asked to consider the following planning applications presented to the Committee via the Local Planning Authority. Members are further asked to **AGREE** to support, object or remain neutral and **AGREE** to any comments to be submitted to the local planning authority.

Week 40

7.1 SB/22/01950/DOM - Case Officer: Rebecca Perris

Mr Anil Rachwani

Mayfield Prinsted Lane Prinsted Southbourne

Demolition of rear side extension and garage. Construction of new 2 story rear side extension. Construction of new garage. Alterations to existing fenestrations and timber cladding. Construction of new terrace and porch to the front elevation. Internal reconfiguration and refurbishment.

O.S. Grid Ref. 476525/105080

To view the application use the following link; <https://publicaccess.chichester.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RFPY4IERJEU00>

7.2 SB/22/02310/LBC - Case Officer: Rebecca Perris

Ms O Dunkley

5 Lumley Terrace Lumley Road Southbourne West Sussex

Single storey rear extension with pitched roof and 3 no. roof lights following demolition of existing rear extensions.

O.S. Grid Ref. 475157/106177

To view the application use the following link; <https://publicaccess.chichester.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RHXRSBERL5600>

7.3 SB/22/02362/ELD - Case Officer: Sascha Haigh

Mrs Gayle Bardgett

Land Adjacent To 34 Nutbourne Park Nutbourne West Sussex PO18 8RU

Existing lawful development certificate for the change of use of agricultural land to garden land (since 1986).

O.S. Grid Ref. 477934/105353

To view the application use the following link; <https://publicaccess.chichester.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RIB06TERLF900>

Week 41

7.4 SB/22/01941/FUL - Case Officer: Rebecca Perris

Abbey Property Management Ltd

Stables North Of Thornham Farm House, Prinsted Lane Prinsted Southbourne

Proposed change of use of existing stables and outbuildings to create 1 no. new dwelling with fully engineered floating floor, retained stables, garage, and machinery store.

O.S. Grid Ref. 476251/105008

To view the application use the following link; <https://publicaccess.chichester.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RFOP2ZERJED00>

7.5 SB/22/02400/DOM - Case Officer: Freya Divey

Ms Amanda Tait

322 Main Road Southbourne West Sussex PO10 8JN

Single storey side extension.

O.S. Grid Ref. 477022/105583

To view the application use the following link; <https://publicaccess.chichester.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RINXN9ERLOR00>

Week 42

7.6 SB/22/02533/FUL - Case Officer: Freya Divey

Mr J Haskins

Cooks Farm Cooks Lane Southbourne West Sussex

Replacement of existing metal clad storage barn with brick built storage barn on the same footprint.

O.S. Grid Ref. 477475/106131

To view the application use the following link; <https://publicaccess.chichester.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RJDL34ERM8H00>

7.7 SB/22/02567/TPA - Case Officer: Henry Whitby

Mrs Louise Knowles

35 & 37 Russet Gardens Hermitage Southbourne Emsworth

Reduce height by 3m, reduce all sectors by 3m and crown lift by up to 2.5m (above ground level) on 2 no. Field Maple trees (quoted as T1 and T2) within Group, G1 subject to SB/94/00896/TPO.

O.S. Grid Ref. 475735/105960

To view the application use the following link; <https://publicaccess.chichester.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=RJMTTKER10R00>

AGENDA ITEM 8

CONSIDERATION OF AMENDED PLANNING APPLICATIONS

WILLOW BROOK 21/01910/OUT - MEMBERS ARE ASKED TO NOTE THE CORRESPONDENCE FROM NATURAL ENGLAND

Thank you for contacting Natural England. Apologies for the delay in responding to your enquiry.

As you may be aware, Natural England must be consulted on planning applications that impact on Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) or internationally designated sites

(Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas and Ramsar sites). See further information [here](#).

The Natural England response you refer to below (405503) relates to amended plans. Natural England were consulted on planning application 21/01910/OUT Updated HRA & Appropriate Assessment - Outline, all matters reserved (except access) for demolition of buildings/structures on site, erection of 73 dwellings incl 3 custom/self-build plots, parking etc. Willowbrook Riding Centre, Hambrook Hill, South Hambrook, Chidham PO18 8UJ to consider any environmental impacts upon Chichester and Langstone Harbour SSSI, SPA and RAMSAR, the Solent Maritime SAC and Singleton and Cocking Tunnels SAC and our main comments can be found in the attached letter (390590).

When a planning application is submitted where significant environmental effects cannot be ruled out, a competent authority (usually the local planning authority or Environment Agency) must make an appropriate assessment of the implications of the plan or project for that site, taking account of the site's conservation objectives. If the appropriate assessment cannot rule out damage due to nutrient pollution, planning permission would be denied under this legislation unless mitigation to reduce or eliminate the impact can be put in place.

Natural England has reviewed the available evidence on Habitats Sites that are in unfavourable condition due to high nutrient levels and has advised local planning authorities in relevant catchments that they should undertake Habitats Regulations Assessments (HRA) of all development proposals which may give rise to additional nutrients entering their catchments, in line with the requirements of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. Where developments may fail the tests of an appropriate assessment based on nutrient pollution, local planning authorities may choose to use nutrient neutrality to counterbalance nutrient impacts and this is what we have recommended in our response to Chichester District Council.

The chalk stream itself is not part of a designated site therefore Natural England would not comment on it. It is the role of the local planning authority as the decision maker on planning applications to take account of all environmental impacts and opportunities and make a decision on the proposed development e.g. protected species and priority habitats. I note from the comments in the Ecological Appraisal (see attached) that 'The Ham Brook partially falls on site along the south-eastern boundary, which has been classified as a Chalk Stream by the Environment Agency and meets the criteria for a priority habitat chalk river tributary' so the local planning authority is aware of its presence. This should ensure that it is a consideration of the planning decision. Any impacts to the Bechstein's and Barbastelle bats have been assessed in relation to Singleton and Cocking Tunnels SAC and it was deemed that sufficient mitigation was in place to minimise impact.

Kind regards

Sally Tainton

Natural England

See additional documents attached to this correspondence:



NE Response Tracker 21_01910_OUT-ECO 21_01910_OUT-NAT
390590.pdf LOGICAL_IMPACT_AS URAL_ENGLAND_-_2;



AGENDA ITEM 9

WILLOW BROOK 21/01910/OUT- TO NOTE THE CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FROM DEMOCRATIC SERVICES AND TO AGREE IF MEMBERS WISH TO TAKE ANY ACTION INCLUDING TO RESPOND TO THE EMAIL AND TO ATTEND THE MEETING

Members are asked to receive and NOTE the correspondence received in relation to an enquiry made by a neighbouring Parish Clerk regarding a request for additional speakers at the Planning Committee meeting for this application. Members are to AGREE if they wish to make a formal response to Democratic Services. Members are further asked to AGREE if a member(s) wishes to attend the committee meeting.

Response to Parish Clerk from Democratic Services:

I have been passed your email and asked to speak with the Chairman regarding your request for additional speakers.

I am writing to confirm that I have spoken to Cllr Purnell, as the Chairman of the Planning Committee, and she has confirmed that she will only allow three supporters or objectors to speak when planning application; SB/21/01910/OUT Willowbrook Riding Centre Hambrook Hill South Hambrook, is brought to Committee.

As the application site lies within both Southbourne Parish and Chidham and Hambrook Parish, both Parish Councils will be allowed to speak. Speakers will be registered on a first come first served basis.

I would encourage people to submit comments via the planning portal as this will allow officers to further investigate any concerns raised ahead of Committee, it will also ensure they are informed when the application is brought to Committee.

I am sorry that this is not the answer you were hoping for,

*Best wishes
Fiona*

Original request from Parish Clerk:

Nicola

The above planning application straddles both Southbourne and Chidham & Hambrook parishes.

Both Parish Councils are strongly objecting to the application and it has been red-carded so will come to the Planning Committee in due course.

Traditionally only 3 objectors are allowed per application. We request that an exception is made in this case as objectors from both parishes are likely to be want to be involved.

Regards

AGENDA ITEM 10

CONSIDERATION OF PLANNING APPEALS AND TO NOTE ANY UPDATES REGARDING APPEALS

10.1 Land East Of Priors Orchard

To **NOTE** any update regarding the hearing for; DCLG Ref No: APP/L3815/W/22/3296444

Application No: SB/21/03665/FUL

Current Status- In Progress

10.2 Gosden Green, 112 Main Rd.

To **NOTE** any update regarding DCLG Ref No: APP/L3815/W/21/3289451 Application No: 21/02238/FULEIA

Current Status – In Progress not yet decided

AGENDA ITEM 11

MEMBERS ARE ASKED TO RECEIVE AND NOTE THE CGI IMAGES OF THE DEVELOPMENT PLANS AND TO RECEIVE AND NOTE THE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THE PLANNING COMMITTEE'S FORMAL RESPONSE TO THE JULY CONSULTATION AND FURTHER AGREE TO A RESPONSE



2022092911471896
1.pdf



Southbourne
CGI.msg

AGENDA ITEM 12

TO AGREE A RESPONSE TO THE STREET NAMING CONSULTATION- 30 FIRST AVENUE

Members are asked to receive and NOTE the documentation on the street naming consultation and AGREE to a response

Please find attached our consultation letter along with plans showing the above new development to the rear of 28 (demolished) and 30 First Avenue, Southbourne. I am only proposing to use one street name for this development.

Please may I have any comments or alternative suggestions by no later than 9th November 2022, but let me know if you require longer.

The reason for the choice of street name that the developer has given to me is because the demolished house, number 28, was known as Darley Dale.



Parish Consultation
letter.pdf



Site and Location
Plan.pdf

AGENDA ITEM 13

TO RECEIVE AND NOTE THE NOTES FOR THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN STEERING GROUP MEETING, IF AVAILABLE, INCLUDING CONSIDERATION OF ANY RECOMMENDATIONS

No notes available.

AGENDA ITEM 14

THORNHAM MARINA- TO NOTE THE CORRESPONDENCE FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC REGARDING ALLEGED PLANNING BREACHES AND TO NOTE THE RESPONSE FROM THE ENFORCEMENT MANAGER AT CDC

Members are asked to NOTE the correspondence and the subsequent response. This is an enforcement issue and therefore no action or response is required by Members.

Emails received from a member of the public:

I am writing to follow up on my email of 6 months ago (and previous emails) as I have not heard from Ms Archer or any other planning officer for about 2 years. While I am always

hopeful that the matters I raised are being dealt with I have no way of knowing. I also realise Covid has not made life easy for anyone. Could you please use your influence as Chair of the Planning committee to elicit some communication with me on this subject?

I wanted to follow up on my previous email to which I am still awaiting a reply regarding the wide range of planning breaches at Thornham Marina. Please see attached photographs of additional structures that have recently been added. These structures are in addition to those listed in my previous emails dated 27 February 2022, 22 November 2019, 28th January 2019, 8th June 2020, 6th August 2020 and subsequent conversations/emails concluding with a holding email from Steven Pattie on 7th April 2021 last year. It goes without saying that there is no planning consent for any of these new structures as well as the ones previously highlighted.

The new structures are also advertised on line [here](#).

Could you urgently let me know what action CDC is taking with regard to these matters?

I hope that you are keeping well.

Further to my emails of 22 November 2019, 28th January 2019, 8th June 2020, 6th August 2020 and subsequent conversations/emails concluding with a holding email from Steven Pattie on 7th April 2021 last year. Can I ask for an update on the complaint I have raised about a number of structures at Thorham Marina?

For structures there is a 4 year time limit at which point planning enforcement action is not an option. I realise that Covid has probably created a backlog of work, however I am concerned that the time taken to resolve this matter will mean that this 4 year period is exceeded as follows:

Pods added to the pontoons July 2019 - so by July of this year they will have been in place for 3 years.

New clubhouse adjacent to harbour - pre March 2019 - so at least 3 years old

Sewage Tanks adjacent to harbour - July 2019

Additional office buildings adjacent to offices added post March 2019 but pre-July 2019

Response from Enforcement Manager at CDC:

I refer to your email of yesterday and note your concern that you had not received an update in this case.

A check of our records has shown that planning enforcement received a draft enforcement notice from legal services in July and that unfortunately we had not agreed details of that notice with our planning solicitor. I apologise for the delay that this has caused.

The case has now been allocated to Michael Coates-Evans. He has been involved in progressing the case to draft notice stage and will now carry this forward to completion. I have today returned the documentation to legal services.

It is envisaged that a notice will be issued within the next week or two which requires the floating pods to be removed.

Kind regards

Shona Archer

AGENDA ITEM 15

TO RECEIVE AND NOTE THE CORRESPONDENCE FROM LUKEN BECK ON BEHALF OF SEAWARDS RELATING TO A PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AT PENNY LANE

*Seaward Properties Ltd, to notify you of the public exhibition taking place on **Monday 24th October 2022, at Southbourne Village Hall, First Avenue, Southbourne, Emsworth, PO10 8HN**, between the hours of **11am – 6.30pm**. You are most welcome to attend at any time, although there will be landscape, highways, ecology and drainage specialists available to provide Councillors with **a preview between 10.30am-11am** before we open the doors to the public.*

The exhibition follows several weeks of consultations, which have been extremely helpful in guiding our technical assessments. Our consultations will culminate with reviewing feedback on the exhibition responses (online and paper form) for any final revisions ahead of the planning submission for the middle to end of November.

We are hoping for a good turn out after publicising the event in the news pages of Chichester Post, leaflets being delivered to the immediate residents today and a Questionnaire on Seawards Properties webpage soon to be made live.

If you are unable to attend there is an email address PennyLane@lukenbeck.com, which you can use to comment or request a link to the final version of the boards, or alternatively, please contact me directly.

The Chair may wish to give a verbal update following attendance.

AGENDA ITEM 16

TO NOTE DATE AND TIME OF THE NEXT MEETING

The next meeting is scheduled for 17th November 2022 @ 6.00pm